

Last update: April 2018

## **Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) in Refrigeration and Air-Conditioning Systems (RAC)**

Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)<sup>1</sup> was one of the first refrigerants to replace early air cycle systems and was in use primarily for shipboard refrigeration in the beginning of the twentieth century. It was then superseded by chlorofluorocarbons. However, since CO<sub>2</sub> is environmentally benign, non-toxic (in the classical sense), non-flammable, chemically inactive and offers a very high volumetric cooling capacity together with excellent heat transfer properties, it is now widely available in commercial refrigeration applications with subcritical, trans-critical and booster configurations and frequently used in combination with heat reclaim. Several prototypes are running across Europe also for heat pumps, air conditioning, and refrigerated transport application as well as in the industrial field with increasing sizes above 1 MW. Because of its very low global warming potential and zero ODP<sup>2</sup>, CO<sub>2</sub> systems do not need the very stringent containment criteria necessary for HFCs and other refrigerants. Since CO<sub>2</sub> is in the same safety class (A1) as HFCs the safety requirements may be less onerous than they would be for ammonia or hydrocarbons.

The thermodynamic characteristics of CO<sub>2</sub> are very different to the refrigerants usually applied in RAC systems. Its very low critical temperature of 31°C may require trans-critical operation, depending on the heat sink temperature on the discharge side. The energy efficiency tends to be lower as compared to a sub-critical conventional system and the system design for trans-critical operation will differ from a conventional vapor compression cycle. Nevertheless, with solutions like parallel compression, ejectors, heat recovery CO<sub>2</sub> systems can reach or exceed the energy efficiency of systems with established refrigerants and are now applied more and more also in warmer climatic areas.

Pressure levels and volumetric cooling capacity for CO<sub>2</sub> systems are much higher than those for conventional systems. This results in smaller compressor displacement and smaller tube dimensions, and many components, particularly the compressors, need to be specifically designed for use with CO<sub>2</sub>.

Uncertainties on future scenario of HFC-HFO refrigerants and an impressive escalation of HFC's market prices contraposed to a wider availability of CO<sub>2</sub> dedicated components are supporting the increased popularity of CO<sub>2</sub> systems.

Therefore, CO<sub>2</sub> technology cannot be seen as a general alternative solution to systems with HFCs, NH<sub>3</sub> or hydrocarbons and in no circumstances must CO<sub>2</sub> be introduced into a non- CO<sub>2</sub> system. Any development/application of CO<sub>2</sub> RAC systems requires a careful

# STATEMENT



Last update: April 2018

assessment of system efficiency, TEWI<sup>3</sup>, life cycle cost, technical feasibility, reliability and safety aspects.

Furthermore, new dedicated components, increased complexity of the systems, increased risks for higher operating pressures requires new skills and knowledges also for installers and maintenance technicians and constant training of all the operators involved.

*ASERCOM* members are involved in projects with CO<sub>2</sub> as a refrigerant. Components and solutions for its application have been available for few years now. However, before proceeding with a CO<sub>2</sub> application, individual consultation with manufacturers is required due to the very specific issues involved.

<sup>1</sup> R744 according to ISO 817 / EN378-1

<sup>2</sup> ODP Ozone Depleting Potential

<sup>3</sup> TEWI Total Equivalent Warming Impact

---

These recommendations are addressed to professionals, industrial, commercial and domestic refrigeration system manufacturers/installers. They have been drafted on the basis of what *ASERCOM* believes to be the state of scientific and technical knowledge at the time of drafting, however, *ASERCOM* and its member companies cannot accept any responsibility for and, in particular, cannot assume any reliability with respect to any measures - acts or omissions - taken on the basis of these recommendations.

---